

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/605,259	09/18/2003	Tim A. Matus	ITW7510.065	2258	
33647	7590 11/14/2005	TIONS GROUP, SC (ITW) D	EXAM	EXAMINER	
			PASCHALL	PASCHALL, MARK H	
	TH CEDARBURG ROAD WI 53097		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3742		

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/605,259	MATUS, TIM A.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark H. Paschall	3742			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
VVHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DONISIONS OF TIME MAILING DONISIONS OF THE MAILING T	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. It timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	,					
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		/			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.	\checkmark			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9) 🛛	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/25/05, 9/18/03</u> . 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The title should be amended to reflect that the consumables are associated with a torch or welding torch preferably.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blankenship in view of EP 279'.

Art Unit: 3742

Blankenship teaches identification of an entire torch, which is construed as containing consumables, whereas the claims define identification of the electrode in a torch. Note that Blankenship does teach control of the welding parameters in response to the sensing, as claimed, and does teach resistive sensing, as claimed. The patent to Ep'279 teaches identification of a consumable in a plasma torch, an electrode, and torch control in response to this sensing. More automated torch operation is the benefit in Ep 279' and in view of this teaching it would have been obvious to modify the Blankenship system to specifically detect electrode type in lieu of torch type, to enable a more automated torch operation to be effected. As per claim 2-note use of resistance as the sensing parameter in Blankenship. Use of other types of detectors such as reflectivity of the consumable, magnetic presence sensing or sonic sensing, as per claims 3, 4 and 5 respectively, is considered an obvious choice for the artisan, since numerous detection schemes are know in the art and such choice would be dependent on other torch parameters such as structure and intended use. Use of the torch to cut or gouge as per claim 6 is a matter of intended use of the device. Column 9, first line in Blankenship teaches a display of the sensing, with respect to claim 8. Electrodes are typically one-piece elects as per claim 20.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lanouette et al, Arantes et al and Buhler et al are ci9ted for disclosing pertinent torch sensing systems of interest.

Art Unit: 3742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark H. Paschall whose telephone number is 703 308-1642. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark H Paschall Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742

mp